

Dr. Elizabeth Coppock
coppock@phil.hhu.de
Due: Tues. October 18th, 2011

Seminar on Presupposition
Heinrich Heine University
Winter Semester 2011/12

Homework: Diagnosing Presuppositions

Note: Please print out your answers and bring them to class on October 18th so we can discuss them all together!

Also: Please label your answers A1, A2, ... B1, B2,...

A. Read: Chierchia and McConnell-Ginet (1990), Ch. 1, Sec. 3.

1. According to C&MG, there are two axes of classification for inferences, giving rise to four possible kinds of inference. What are these two axes?
2. Do exercise 2 on p. 27.
3. How do C&MG define *presupposition*?
4. What do C&MG mean when they say that “presuppositions come in families” (p. 30)?
5. Do exercise 3, pp. 32-33.

B. Read: Beaver and Clark (2008), pp. 214–217.

1. What is the prejacent in the sentence *Mary loves only Fred*?
2. What do Beaver and Clark mean by “vanilla entailment”? Which component of the meaning of *only* is generally agreed to be a vanilla entailment?
3. What is the basic positive inference that follows from *Mary loves only Fred*?
4. What is the basic negative inference that follows from *Mary loves only Fred*?
5. Does German *nur* give rise to the same two inferences? Give an example.
6. What is the conjunction analysis of *only*?
7. What is the prejacent presupposition theory of exclusives?

8. Construct examples like (9.5) and (9.6) in German with *nur*. (Don't translate directly, just make something up.) Does the positive component survive embedding under negation? How about the negative component?
9. Construct examples like (9.8) in German with *nur*. Do you observe the same asymmetry?
10. Apply the 'repeatability test' (as it was called in the lecture; what Beaver and Clark refer to as 'order asymmetries') to these examples (or their German equivalents):
 - (1)
 - a. The flying saucer came again.
 - b. The flying saucer has come sometime in the past.
 - (2)
 - a. The flying saucer came yesterday.
 - b. The flying saucer has come sometime in the past.
11. Construct an example like (9.10) in German with *nur*. Does *because* target the positive inference? Does it target the negative inference?
12. Construct an example like (9.11) in German with *nur*, using any emotive factive predicate of your choosing (e.g. *be glad that*, *be disappointed that*, etc.). Does the emotive factive predicate target the positive component? Does it target the negative component?
13. Apply the emotive factive test to these examples:
 - (3)
 - a. It was Henry who kissed Rosie.
 - b. Someone kissed Rosie.
 - (4)
 - a. Henry kissed Rosie.
 - b. Someone kissed Rosie.